

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/609,016  | 06/27/2003  | Franck Le            | 944-001.080-2       | 8354             |
| 4955 7590 (44012008<br>WARE FRESSOLA VAN DER SLUYS & ADOLPHSON, LLP<br>BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 5 |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|   |             |                      | TIEU, BINH KIEN     |                  |
| 755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224<br>MONROE, CT 06468  |             | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |
|   |             |                      | 2614                |                  |
|   |             |                      |                     |                  |
|   |             |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |             |                      | 04/01/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/609.016 LE ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit BINH K. TIEU 2614 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 January 2008. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/25/07.

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/609,016 Page 2

Art Unit: 2614

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(e) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 1-3, 6, 8-9, 19-20, 23-25 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kakemizu (Pub. No.: US 2002/0006133).

Regarding claim 1, Kakemizu teaches a method comprising:

conveying a request by a mobile node to a home agent in a network requesting the registration of a home address of the mobile mode;

authenticating the mobile node; and

storing the home address of the mobile node in the home agent (see paragraphs [0022],

[0168], [0182], [0265] and [0311], also see claims 1 and 2 of the reference on pages 26-27).

Regarding claim 2, note paragraphs [0122] and [0311].

Regarding claim 3, note paragraphs [0206] and [0253].

Regarding claim 6, note paragraphs [0162], [0174] or [0181].

Regarding claim 8, note paragraph [0125].

Regarding claim 9, note paragraph [0181] and [0182].

Application/Control Number: 10/609,016 Page 3

Art Unit: 2614

Regarding claim 19, Kakemizu teaches a network comprising

at least a mobile node having a home address associated thereto, and

a home agent, wherein the mobile node is adapted to send a request to the home agent requesting the registration of the home address, and the home agent is adapted to authenticate the mobile node and to store the home address of the mobile node in the home agent (see paragraphs [0022], [0168], [0182], [0265] and [0311], also see claims 1 and 2 of the reference on pages 26-27).

Regarding claim 20, note paragraph [0206] and [0253].

Regarding claim 23, note paragraph [0125].

Regarding claim 24, note paragraphs [0168], [0182] and [0265].

Regarding claim 25, note paragraphs [0206] and [0253].

Regarding claim 27. Kakemizu teaches an apparatus comprising:

means for sending a request to a home agent in a network for registering a home address of the apparatus with the home agent, wherein the request includes the network access identity so as to allow the home agent to authenticate the mobile device based on the network access identity (see paragraphs [0022], [0168], [0182], [0265] and [0311], also see claims 1 and 2 of the reference on pages 26-27).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person Application/Control Number: 10/609,016

Art Unit: 2614

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

 Claim 4-5, 7-8, 10-11, 15-18, 21-23, 26, and 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kakemizu (Pub. No.: US 2002/0006133) in view of Ohki (Pub. No.: US 2004/0137888 as cited in the previous Office Action).

Regarding claims 4, 21, 26, Kakemizu teaches all subject matters as claimed above, except for the feature of the mobile node is authenticated using security information based on the network access identity. However, Ohki teaches such feature in paragraph [0143] for a purpose of keeping tracks of mobile node moving among sub-networks.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the time the invention was made to incorporate the use of the feature of the mobile node is authenticated using security information based on the network access identity. However, Ohki, into view of Kakemizu in order to keep tracks of sub-networks mobile node moving into or leaving from.

Regarding claims 5, 7, 22, Ohki further teaches limitations of the claims in paragraphs [0120] and [0143].

Regarding claims 8, 23, Ohki further teaches limitations of the claims in paragraph [0125].

Regarding claims 10-11, Ohki further teaches limitations of the claims in paragraph [0110].

Regarding claim 15, Ohki further teaches limitations of the claim in paragraph [0120] or [0143].

Regarding claim 16-18, 28-30, Ohki further teaches limitations of the claims in paragraphs [0072], [0077] and [0141].

Claims 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kakemizu
 (Pub. No.: US 2002/0006133) in view of in view of Kakemizu et al. (Pub. No.: US 2001/0036164, also cited in the previous Office Action).

Regarding claims 12-13, Kakemizu '133 teaches all subject matters as claimed above, except for the feature of the lifetime can be refreshed. However, Kakemizu et al ("Kakemizu '164") teaches such feature in paragraphs [0169] and [0182] for a purpose of authentication extension.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the time the invention was made to incorporate the use of the feature of the lifetime can be refreshed, as taught by Kakemizu '164, into view of Kakemizu '133 in order to complete the request by the mobile node to the home agent.

Art Unit: 2614

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kakemizu (Pub. No.: US 2002/0006133) in view of Akhtar et al. (US Pat. #: 7,079,499, also cited in the previous Office Action).

Regarding claim 14, Kakemizu '133 teaches all subject matters as claimed above, except for the step of authenticating the request using a hash function. However, Akhtar et al. ("Akhtar") teaches such feature in col.88, lines 21-55 for a purpose of providing data authentication attribute value.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the time the invention was made to incorporate the use of the feature of the step of authenticating the request using a hash function, as taught by Akhtar, into view of Kakemizu '133 in order to authenticated the request from the mobile terminal.

#### Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Above.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
  examiner should be directed to Binh K. Tieu whose telephone number is (571) 272-7510 and Email address: BINH.TIEU@USPTO.GOV.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Curtis Kuntz, can be reached on (571) 272-7499 and IF PAPER HAS BEEN Application/Control Number: 10/609,016

Art Unit: 2614

# MISSED FROM THIS OFFICIAL ACTION PACKAGE, PLEASE CALL CUSTOMER

Any response to this action should be mailed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

SERVICE FOR THE SUBSTITUTIONS OR COPIES.

(571) 273-8300 Hand Carry Deliveries to: Customer Service Window (Randolph Building) 401 Dulany Street Alexandria. VA 22314

In formation regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (FAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the FAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

#### /BINH K. TIEU/

Primary Examiner Technology Division 2614

Date: March 2008